Administrative Responsiveness with reference to Public Service Delivery in India

In measuring administrative responsiveness in the domain of public service delivery one would, at a rudimentary level, invoke two yardsticks. The first concerns the timeline. The second yardstick relates to the substantive consideration as to whether the services delivered are actually in line with the needs of the service-users.

One might therefore say that the caption of the theme-note defines with precision and elegance the scope of the Indian Journal of Public Administration's special issue for the year 2020. The instrument specially designed to create, preserve, protect, and enhance administrative responsiveness in the domain of public service delivery had arisen on the scene, in this country, in 1997. It carries a captivating name: it is known by the label of "citizen's charter". And today, when it is 22+, India's citizen's charter programme stands in the need of a scrutiny.

(1) Rise of the Idea

The idea of public services tailored to the requirements of the service-users had emerged as a paramount concern by the time the decade of the 1990s dawned. The rise of the 73rd and 74th Constitution Amendment Acts, in 1992, as much as that of the Panchayats (Extension to the Scheduled Areas) Act, 1996 and the Department of AR&PG initiative which culminated in the "Action Plan for Effective and Responsive Administration" following the May 1997 chief ministers' conference under the chairmanship of Prime Minister bear a fulsome testimony to this assertion.

 While the 1992 and 1996 legislations seek this via the route of localization – stressing devolution of power from the centre to localities *where the people actually live* – the Department of AR&PG's "Action Plan" seeks it through a stringent de-concentration strategy designed to extract maximal performance from the front-line functionaries (or the customer-contact employees) of the bureaucratic machine.

Devolution - that organizationally expresses itself in the form of local self-governing institutions (LSGIs) – forms the political route to decentralization and it aims to address the social justice and exclusion-related issues. Contrastingly, in referring to de-concentration one invokes decentralization in its narrow, techno-managerial incarnation concerned with the delegation of operations, not power. A principal-agent relationship obtains between the headquarters and field agencies unlike in the case of devolution where the delegator and delegate are co-ordinates of each other.

This was, in fact, a digression to address the issues of semantics as much as to underline that the "effective and responsive administration" debate of the nineties arose in a context: the rise, under the influence of neo-liberal ideology of 1980s, of what has since become known by the label of 'market-based public administration' as also the rise during the decade of 1970s of the Alternative Development paradigm that stressed people-centred development and, in keeping with the consideration of equity, laid emphasis on the participation in the governance process of the society's excluded and oppressed.

To return to the "Action Plan" let it now be said that the issuance of citizen's charters, by the providers of discrete public services, forms a key decision of this May 1997 declaration.

United Kingdom was the country which pioneered the path-breaking innovation involving the thought that the provider-user equations in the public domain can be adjusted to tilt the balance in favour of the service-user through a formal proclamation that will spell out the user's entitlements and parallelly indicate the service-provider's obligations. The said 'formal proclamation' was given the name of citizen's charter.

Citizen's charter in the U.K. arose on the scene as a part of a larger movement encapsuled in the expression New Public Management (NPM). National Performance Review (NPR) forms the American version of the NPM. NPR was the brain child of the Clinton Administration, it may be added. The 1980s was in fact also a period of pervasive reform of public bureaucracies in the OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development) countries. The African and Asian continents have not remained untouched either. The citizen's charter has moved to those countries as well. Client charter is how it is more popularly known in the African and Asian countries.

(2) Pre-eminence of the Citizen's Charter initiative in the middle of the Other Routes to Administrative Responsiveness

There exist, doubtlessly, a variety of routes – other than citizen's charter – to secure an improved service delivery, illustratively, e-governance which harnesses the power of information technology to make it easier for citizens to interact with the state. However, where the citizen's charter initiative stands out is in the philosophy that underlies the design and the promise that this design holds of setting into motion the forces that will exercise a benign influence on the public service-providers. The philosophy, very simply, is that the government should be demand-driven. As for the design, it situates the government in the TQM mode. It seeks the service-provider to anticipate the tension areas and eliminate what stands in the way of tailoring services to match the customer preferences.

How far has this been realized on the ground? It is time to scrutinize the actual status.

Besides, as we shall see in the ensuing paragraphs, the country's citizen's charter programme has, since its launch, registered a vast expansion as well as assumed the diversity that was originally not anticipated. All this, cumulatively, turns it into a uniquely eminent territory for exploration.

Later, in section-5 of the theme-note, there is given a further indication of the specific areas that the authors may like to explore. Needless to say that the indications we throw up (in section-5) form merely into an illustrative – rather than an exhaustive - list. The authors will naturally concentrate on the matters and issues that, in their judgment, are important when handling the subject of citizen's charter in its conceptual and empirical dimensions.

We shall briefly pause here to dwell, in bare outline, on two matters. First, a definitional issue: what is citizen's charter? Second, what are the salient features of India's citizen's charter programme.

(3) What is citizen's charter

Citizen's charter is a written declaration, from a public service-provider, that acknowledges the entitlements of the users of its services. It embodies in essence a three-fold commitment on the service-provider's part. First, regarding the standards and specifications of the services it offers. Secondly, in regard to the time-frame: the stipulated time-limit within which the given services shall be delivered. The third commitment concerns the grievance redress machinery – to address situations where the service-provider failed to deliver services of the promised specifications.

The spirit that underpins the design – involving information sharing on service standards and time-lines - is that of empowering the service-user. It is as much that of encouraging the service-provider to anticipate the potential tension areas so as to be able to pre-empt grievances. Ideologically, therefore, the citizen's charter scheme is anchored on the notion of the TQM-inspired zero-defect system. However, in order for such a vision to take roots on the ground there will need to be developed within the organization vigorous capacity-building strategies, empowered front-line employees, a bottom-up-management modus-operandi and so on.

(4) India's Citizen's Charter Programme

India's citizen's charter programme was launched on the 15th August, 1997; the day marked the golden jubilee of the country's independence. The twenty two-year stretch, from 1997 to 2019, falls in two distinct chronological phases. The year 2010, when the campaign for the conferment of statutory status on citizen's charters started, forms the dividing-line. According to one source, twenty state governments and union territories have since enacted the right-to-public-services legislations.[i] Things, however, shaped differently at the union government level. Diverse situations intervened to block the passage of the Government of India's Citizen's Charter Bill, 2011. And the Bill, finally, lapsed in 2014 with the dissolution of the fifteenth Lok sabha.

In terms of this there operate within the country two parallel sets of citizen's charters. First, those of the union government which are without a legal backing and, at the same time, a second set of citizen's charters – those of the state governments and UTs - which are legally enforceable.

India's citizen's charter programme is composed of three discrete components.

1. The first of these is the document that spells out the concerned bureau's service standards and its grievance-redress mechanism. The document under reference is indeed the concerned agency's citizen's charter.
2. Secondly, a quality management system that, against a set of pre-decided criteria, allows the service-providers to undertake self-assessment as well as which facilitates accreditation via an independent scrutiny of their performance. The particular initiative, christened *sevottam* – a linguistic hybrid of *seva* and *uttam,* which translates 'service excellence' in English, was introduced in 2005.
3. Finally, there are the agency-specific information and facilitation counters (IFCs). These are information-dissemination and grievance-tracking computerized offices that, with a view to enable an easy access to the concerned citizen, have been located outside the security zone of the respective ministries. Where the presence of an empowered IFC chief, by facilitating instant disposal of the routine matters, exercises a benign influence on the quality of government-citizen interface. Under the IFC scheme the responsibility for a range of subjects - involving, say, the client need for information or a status-report on a case under consideration – which would in the normal course have required the client to physically contact the corresponding functionaries seated inside the security zone – stands delegated to the individual manning this computerized office: the IFC chief.

Profile at the State/UT Level: Information Gap

Information - as regards the profile of the country's citizen's charter programme at the state/ union territory level - is deficient on the following three counts.

(1) What is the status of the sevottam scheme and IFCs under the service guarantee acts of the respective states and union territories?

Investigation of this matter, because it calls for the scrutiny of the twenty legislations, is doubtlessly an enormous task. But it is worth the time and resources it will cost given that both Sevottam as well as IFCs intimately affect the efficacy of the citizen's charter scheme.

(2) How many state governments and UT administrations participate in the country's citizen's charter programme?

There are divergent accounts as to the number of state governments and UTs that participate in the country's citizen's charter programme. According to one source, as was stated above, the number of state governments and UTs which have enacted the right-to-public-services legislations stands at twenty (the reference is to *Wikipedia*, see endnote-i). As against this, according to the Department of AR&PG website (www.goicharters.nic.in [accessed on 21 November 2019]), the number of state governments and UTs which participate in the country's citizen's charter programme, stands at 24.

This stresses the need for further investigation in order that the divergence is explained – that one is able to place it in context.

(3) Does the phrase "citizen's charter" in relation to the states/UTs with service guarantee acts mean a "notified service"?

This question assumes importance in view of the under-stated situation.

There is mention, in the Department of AR&PG website (www.goicharters.nic.in [accessed on 21 November, 2019]), of "729 citizen's charters formulated by 24 state governments/ union territories".

Do all 24 under reference actually have service guarantee acts? This needs to be ascertained for the reason that public services *which are granted as a right* under the legislations are notified separately through a gazette notification.

In this background, assuming that all 24 had the service-guarantee-acts, is one to understand the phrase citizen's charter – used in the Department of AR&PG website – actually refers to a "notified service".

The foregoing seeks merely to stress how the information gap obstructs a complete, holistic view of the country's citizen's charter programme. Obviously, there need be no sense of helplessness about the situation. It is merely a matter of commencing research to bridge the gaps on those counts.

(5) Domains to Explore: An Illustrative List

(1) Since there operate side by side two sets of citizen's charters in the country – (1) those without a legal backing (the original version) and (2) those that are legally enforceable (which arose on the scene with effect from 2010) - there is a dire need as well as abundant scope to empirically examine the outcomes that have arisen from the each pattern.

 (EXPLANATION) As to the conferment of legal status on citizen's charters there are discernible in the matter two schools of thought, or two traditions. The first of these maintains that unless the document, granting specific entitlements, had legal force no rights whatsoever could be said to have created for the citizens. The second school of thought takes the view that statutory backing is of a secondary importance as long as the programme bureaucracy was consumer sensitive. That the consumer rights were more effectively secured via this route than when the service-user was required to take recourse to the courts to establish their right to public services. Not merely this. The protagonists in fact argue that the statutory route is diversionary, and even perverse. Diversionary in that it hooks the system to procedures as much as that it diverts attention from the core issues of the employee motivation and employee empowerment. Perverse because the penalty imposed upon the defaulter/erring employee did not anyway bring relief to the aggrieved; that a tit-for-tat approach does not solve problem – aggravates it.

(2) What circumstances – during the course of the years 1997-2010 – contributed to the decision to turn citizen's charters into a legally enforceable instrument?

(3) What is the current status of the Sevottam scheme and IFCs? That these are in a sturdy state of health, it is necessary to ensure in order that the citizen's charter scheme will remain robust.

(4) Why did the task-force methodology -the originally designed methodology under which all stake-holders will participate in the citizen's charter formulation process - fail to take off?

(5) Invocation of the term "citizen" when service-user is actually no more than the client of a unilaterally-deciding producer-and-dispenser of public services is misleading, it has been contended.

 (6) What influence did the rise of the citizen's charter initiative wield on the work culture of bureaucracy? In addition, have the HRD practices registered a change with the rise of the initiative.

(7) What changes have the HRD practices (of civil service in India) registered with the rise of the citizen's charter initiative?

(8) Where should the possessive apostrophe rest? Should it be positioned as in the word *citizen's* or as in the word *citizens'*?

FOR YOUR KIND ATTENTION.

1. **The submission deadline extended to February 29, 2020, you are requested to most kindly note.**
2. **Your article should not exceed in length beyond 6000 words.**
3. **Kindly ensure that your article is in line with the IJPA submission-guidelines. Articles not in line with the submission-guidelines will not be accepted nor processed for evaluation.**
4. **Please refer to the submission-guidelines on pages 7-10 or visit link:**
   https://journals.sagepub.com/author-instructions/IPA

-Editor, IJPA

i "Right to Public Services Legislation" *Wikipedia* (last edited on 30 September, 2019), Viewed on 13 November, 2019 (https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Right_to_Public_Services_Legislation&oldid=918763160).

Submission Guidelines for *Indian Journal of Public Administration/SAGE*

The *Indian Journal of Public Administration* adheres to a rigorous double-blind reviewing policy in which the identity of both the reviewer and author are always concealed from both parties. All manuscripts are reviewed initially by the editor(s) and only those papers that meet the editorial standards of the journal, and fit within the aims and scope of the journal, will be sent for outside review. Only in case of a strong difference of opinion about the quality of a submission between the referee and the editor, the item would be referred for a second review to the reviewer. Reviewer will first be sounded among the editorial board members and only in case of necessity will a reference made to an expert outside this circle.

Full-fledged papers may be of 3,000 to 6,000 words. Word count exceeding 6000 limit will not be further processed. Brief write-ups of 1,000 to 2,500 words (research reports, commentaries, theoretical notes, and data-based profiles) may also be sent; these will be considered for inclusion in sections titled research papers, research notes, book reviews, and occasionally documents and interviews/ dialogues.

Manuscripts should be submitted in soft copy in MS Word as an attachment to chukkathmeghna@gmail.com. Manuscripts will be considered for publication only if written in accordance with the **Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association** (6th edition, 2009).

Authors will be provided with a copyright form once the contribution is accepted for publication. The submission will be considered as final only after the filled-in and signed copyright form is received.

Publication Ethics

SAGE is committed to upholding the integrity of the academic record. We encourage authors to refer to the Committee on Publication Ethics' International Standards for Authors and view the Publication Ethics page on the SAGE Author Gateway

1. Structure

The manuscript should be structured as follows:

- Cover page, showing title of the paper, name of author, author's affiliation and institutional address with pin code, email id and a 100–150 word abstract. Authors' names and references should not be used in the text in order to keep authors' anonymity (e.g., 'as the author has written elsewhere'

should be avoided). . In case there are two or more authors, then corresponding author's name and address details must be clearly specified on the first page itself.

- The contributors should also provide 4–5 keywords for online searchability.
- Text should start on a new page, and must not contain the names of authors.
- Paginate. Add proper page numbers at the bottom of every page.
- Write the total word count on the cover page.
- Do not exceed 6000 word limit inclusive everything.
- References should come at the end of the manuscript.
- Tables and figures should be provided in editable format and should be referred to in the text by number separately (e.g., Table 1) not by placement (e.g., see Table below). They should each be submitted on a separate page following the article, numbered and arranged as per their references in the text. They will be inserted in the final text as indicated by the author. Source citations with tables and figures are required irrespective of whether or not they require permissions.
- Figures, including maps, graphs and drawings, should not be larger than page size. They should be numbered and arranged as per their references in the text. All photographs and scanned images should have a resolution of minimum 300 dpi and 1500 pixels and their format should be TIFF or JPEG. Permissions to reprint should be obtained for copyright protected photographs/images. Even for photographs/images available in the public domain, it should be clearly ascertained whether or not their reproduction requires permission for purposes of publishing (The Publisher is a profit-making endeavour). All photographs/scanned images should be provided separately in a folder along with the main article.
- Mathematical formulae, methodological details etc. should be given separately as an appendix, unless their mention in the main body of the text becomes essential.

2. Language

- The language and spellings used should be British (U.K.), with 's' variant, e.g., globalisation instead of globalization, labour instead of labor. For non-English and uncommon words and phrases, use italics only for the first time. Meaning of non-English words should be given in parenthesis just after the word when it is used for the first time.
- Articles should use non-sexist and non-racist language.
- Spell out numbers from one to ninety nine, 100 and above to remain in figures. However, for exact measurement (e.g., *China's GDP growth rate 9.8 per cent*) use numbers. Very large round numbers, especially sums of money, may be expressed by a mixture of numerals and spelled-out numbers (*India's population 1.2 billion*).
- Single quotes should be used throughout. Double quote marks are to be used within single quotes. Spellings of words in quotations should not be changed. Quotations of 45 words or more should be separated from the text.
- Notes should be numbered serially and presented at the end of the article. Notes must contain more than a mere reference.
- *Ibid.* should be used.
- Use 'per cent' instead of % in the text. In tables, graphs, etc., % can be used. Use '20th century', '1990s'.
- Number ranges should not be truncated.
- Initials with full points and no spaces.
- The journal does not encourage frequent use of capital letters. They should be used selectively and consistently. Proper names such as names of ethnic, religious and national groups are capitalised (*the Muslims, the Gurkhas, the Germans*). The terms *dalits* and *adivasis*, as exceptions, must be set in lowercase. All caste, tribe and community names (*the Santhals, the Jatavs*) are to be capitalised but generic terms (*the kayasths*) should to be set in lower case. Civil, military, religious, and professional titles (*the President*), institutions (*the Parliament, the United Nations*) and names of

organisations (*the Labour Party* and *the Students Federation of India*) are to be capitalised. The names of political tendencies (*the marxists, the socialists*) should remain in lowercase.

- Abbreviations are spelled out at first occurrence. Very common ones (*US, GDP, BBC*) need not be spelled out. Other commonly used abbreviations (*am, pm, cm, kg, ha*) can be used in lower case, without spaces.

3. Citations and References

Guidelines specified in the ***Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*** (6th edition, 2009) must be followed.

- **References**: A consolidated listing of all books, articles, essays, theses and documents referred to (including any referred to in the tables, graphs and maps) should be provided at the end of the article.
- **Arrangement of references**: Reference list entries should be alphabetized by the last name of the first author of each work. In each reference, authors' names are inverted (last name first) for all authors (first, second or subsequent ones); give the last name and initials for all authors of a particular work unless the work has more than six authors. If the work has more than six authors, list the first six authors and then use et al. after the sixth author's name.
- **Chronological listing:** If more than one work by the same author(s) is cited, they should be listed in order by the year of publication, starting with the earliest.
- **Sentence case**: In references, sentence case (only the first word and any proper noun are capitalized – e.g., 'The software industry in India') is to be followed for the titles of papers, books, articles, etc.
- **Title case**: In references, Journal titles are put in title case (first letter of all words except articles and conjunctions are capitalized – e.g., Journal of Business Ethics).
- **Italicize**: Book and Journal titles are to be italicized.
- Citations and References should adhere to the guidelines below (based on the ***Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association***, 6th edition). Some examples are given below:

In-text citations:

- One work by one author: (Kessler, 2003, p. 50) or 'Kessler (2003) found that among the epidemiological samples..'.
- One work by two authors: (Joreskog & Sorborn, 2007, pp. 50–66) or Joreskog and Sorborn (2007) found that..
- One work by three or more authors: (Basu, Banerji & Chatterjee, 2007) [first instance]; Basu et al. (2007) [Second instance onwards].
- Groups or organizations or universities: (University of Pittsburgh, 2007) or University of Pittsburgh (2007).
- Authors with same surname: Include the initials in all the in-text citations even if the year of publication differs, e.g., (I. Light, 2006; M.A. Light, 2008).
- Works with no identified author or anonymous author: Cite the first few words of the reference entry (title) and then the year, e.g., ('Study finds', 2007); (Anonymous, 1998).
  If abbreviations are provided, then the style to be followed is: (National Institute of Mental Health [NIMH], 2003) in the first citation and (NIMH, 2003) in subsequent citations.
- Two or more works by same author: (Gogel, 1990, 2006, in press)
- Two or more works with different authors: (Gogel, 1996; Miller, 1999)
- Secondary sources: Allport's diary (as cited in Nicholson, 2003).
- Films: (Name of the Director, Year of release)

References:

- **Books:**
  Patnaik, U. (2007). *The republic of hunger*. New Delhi: Three Essays Collective.
- **Edited Books:**
  Amanor, K. S., & Moyo, S. (Eds.). (2008). *Land and sustainable development in Africa*. London and New York, NY: Zed Books.
- **Translated books:**
  Amin, S. (1976). *Unequal development* (trans. B. Pearce). New York, NY: Monthly Review Press.
- **Book chapters:**
  Chachra, S. (2011). The national question in India. In S. Moyo and P. Yeros (Eds.), *Reclaiming the nation* (pp. 67–78). London: Pluto Press.
- **Journal articles:**
  Foster, J. B. (2010). The financialization of accumulation. *Monthly Review*, *62*(5), 1−17.
- **Newsletter article, no author:**
  Six sites meet for comprehensive anti-gang intiative conference. (2006, November/December). *OOJDP News @ a Glance.* Retrieved from http://www.ncrjs.gov/html
  [Please do not place a period at the end of an online reference.]
- **Newspaper article:**
  Schwartz, J. (1993, September 30). Obesity affects economic, social status. *The Washington Post*, pp. A1, A4.
  [In case of online newspapers, page number may be replaced with the URL of the article being referred to.]
- **In-press article:**
  Briscoe, R. (in press). Egocentric spatial representation in action and perception. Philosophy and Phenomenological Research. Retrieved from http://cogprints.org/5780/1/ECSRAP.F07.pdf
- **Non-English reference book, title translated into English:**
  Real Academia Espanola. (2001). *Diccionario de la lengua espanola* [Dictionary of the Spanish Language] (22nd ed.). Madrid, Spain: Author.
- **Special issue or section in a journal:**
  Haney, C., & Wiener, R. L. (Eds.). (2004). Capital punishment in the United States [Special Issue]. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*, *10*(4), 1−17.